

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Jaech, Jeffrey A. (for Craig C. Root – Executor/Petitioner)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Personal Representative and Petition for Its Settlement; (2) for Allowance of Attorneys' Fees for Ordinary Services; and (3) for Final Distribution [Prob. C. 11640]

DOD: 11/09/09	CRAIG ROOT, Executor, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD. 11/07/07	<b>CRAIG ROOT,</b> Executor, is perillioner.	NEEDS/FROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
	Account period: <b>11/09/09 – 08/31/13</b>	
	= 7.0000111 pelied. 11/07/07 00/01/10	
Card frame	Accounting - \$333,940.79	
Cont. from	Beginning POH - \$359,687.51	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Ending POH - \$307,452.06	
✓ Verified	(\$193,452.06 is cash)	
✓ Inventory	<u>'</u>	
✓ PTC	Executor - <b>waived</b>	
✓ Not.Cred.		
✓ Notice of Hrg	Attorney - <b>\$8,217.88</b> (less than	
✓ Aff.Mail w/o	statutory)	
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.	Costs - <b>\$460.50</b> (filing fees,	
Pers.Serv.	certified letters)	
Conf. Screen	Petitioner states that the real property on hand for	
<b>Letters</b> 01/19/10	distribution has an estimated market value greater	
Duties/Supp	than the Inventory & Appraisal value (\$125,000 as	
Objections	opposed to \$110,000) and has calculated the	
Video	distribution using the greater value pursuant to the	
Receipt	agreement by the beneficiaries. Using this	
CI Report	estimated market value for the real property results	
√ 9202	in the ending POH being \$322,452.06 of which	
✓ Order	\$193,452.06 is cash. This ending POH was used to	
Aff. Posting	determine the value of the distribution to each	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	heir. Reappraisal for Distribution filed 10/02/13.	<b>Reviewed on:</b> 10/31/13
UCCJEA	]	Updates:
Citation	Distribution, pursuant to Decedent's Will and	Recommendation: SUBMITTED
✓ FTB Notice	agreement among the beneficiaries, is to:	File 2-Schweickert
	Duth Doot #00 / 41 50 acids in the	
	Ruth Root - \$90,641.58 cash, plus	
	real property valued at \$125,000.00, a vehicle valued at \$2,000.00 and miscellaneous household	
	items valued at \$2,000.00	
	ποιτιο γαίουα αι ψ2,000.00	
	William Christopher Root - \$47,066.05	
	James Allen - \$15,688.69	
	Chantel Root - \$15,688.68	
	· ·	
	· ·	

## 4 Mildred Haney (CONS/PE)

Case No. 12CEPR00534

- Atty Johnson, Summer A (Petitioner/Attorney for former Conservator of the Person)
- Atty Kruthers, Heather (for Public Guardian/Conservator of the Person)
- Atty Motsenbocker, Gary L (for Bruce Bickel/Conservator of the Estate)

Petition for Approval of Payment of Conservator's Attorney's Fees and Reimbursement of Costs Advanced [Prob. C. 2642, 2640.1]

			SUMMER JOHNSON, attorney for former	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			conservator of the person Anita Leal-	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMILIMS.
			Idrogo, is petitioner.	
				Need proof of service of the Notice
			<b>BRUCE BICKEL</b> is conservator of the estate.	of Hearing on:
Со	nt. from		DIDIO CHADDIAN:	a. Public Guardian (successor
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		PUBLIC GUARDIAN is successor conservator	conservator of the person)
1	Verified		of the person.	b. Heather Kruthers (attorney for
			Petitioner requests fees in connection with	successor conservator)
	Inventory		the representation the former conservator	
	PTC		of the person, Anita Leal-Idrogo.	
	Not.Cred.		of the person, 7 time Local larege.	
1	Notice of		Attorney fees - \$12,268.75 (attorney	
	Hrg		rate at \$200-325 per hour for issues	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	regarding the conservatee's residence,	
	Aff.Pub.		assisting conservator of the estate in	
	Sp.Ntc.		locating and marshaling assets, ex parte	
	Pers.Serv.		petitions, preparation of petitions for fees	
	Conf.		and preparation of former conservator's	
	Screen		petition to resign.)	
	Letters		Costs - <b>\$1,559.00</b> (filling fee	
	Duties/Supp		and court call appeance)	
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 11/1/13
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 4 – Haney

Williams, Steven R. of Visalia (for Paul Gestic – Executor/Petitioner)

(1) Petition for Settlement of First and Final Account and (2) Final Distribution

	D. 10/15/11		PALL CECTIC Type where is Delibiogram	
	DOD: 10/15/11		<b>PAUL GESTIC,</b> Executor, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Account period: 12/06/12 - 05/31/13	Continued from 7/30/13. Minute order states Mr. Williams appearing by court call. Mr. Williams
Со	nt. from 073013		Accounting - \$237,500.00 Beginning POH- \$237,500.00	informs the court that notice was given to the Franchise Tax Board last week. Mr. Williams
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Ending POH - <b>\$237,500.00</b> (no	requests a continuance to allow the FTB an opportunity to do what they need to do. As of
✓	Verified		cash)	10/31/13 no additional documents have been
$\checkmark$	Inventory		Fire as they	filed.
✓	PTC		Executor - <b>waives</b>	The petition proposes to distribute the assets
✓	Not.Cred.		Attorney - <b>\$7,750.00</b>	of the estate to the decedent's surviving
✓	Notice of Hrg		(statutory, waived subject to the	spouse, Jun Hawkins and states that she is a
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	approval of the transfer of the estate to	pretermitted heir of the decedent; however, decedent's will devised the residue of the
	Aff.Pub.		the decedent's surviving spouse, Jun Hawkins)	estate to son, Larry Allen Hawkins (gift of
	Sp.Ntc.		nawkiris)	principal residence to Arlene Hawkins is void
	Pers.Serv.		Distribution, pursuant to ???, to:	due to divorce). No assignments or disclaimers of interest have been filed in this
	Conf. Screen		•	matter. Need authority and/or more
	<b>Letters</b> 12/06/	/12	Jun Hawkins - \$237,500.00 (real	information regarding the proposed
	Duties/Supp		property and household furnishings)	distribution to Jun Hawkins.
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
✓	9202	_		
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			<b>Reviewed by:</b> JF for hearing on 7/30/13
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 10/31/13 (KT)
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
✓	FTB Notice			File 5A – Hawkins

**5A** 

# 5B Lawrence Eugene Hawkins (Estate)

Atty Williams, Steven R. (for Executor Paul Gestic)
Atty Winter, Gary (for Objector Arlene Hawkins)

Petition to Determine Person Entitled to Distribution of Estate by Surviving Spouse

P.	D. 10/15/0011	Petition to Determine Person Entitled to Distri	, , ,
סם	D: 10/15/2011	JUN HAWKINS, surviving spouse, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		petitioner.  Petitioner states the decedent left an estate consisting of real property. The	Continued from 10/2/13. Minute order states Ms. Wittig is appearing via court call. Parties agree that Mr. Winter does not
Co	nt. from 100213	property was acquired by the decedent	need to prepare an opposition at this time. As of 10/31/13 no additional
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	prior to the marriage to the petitioner	documents have been filed.
✓	Verified	and paid for in part as the result of the joint efforts of the marriage. Petitioner	
	Inventory	alleges the property is partially separate	Attorney Steven R. William is the attorney for the Executor Paul Gestic.
	PTC	property and partially community	It appears he is also representing the
	Not.Cred.	property.	beneficiary/surviving spouse, Jun
✓	Notice of Hrg	The property was appraised at	Hawkins. This appears to be a conflict
1	Aff.Mail \	/ \$230,000.00. The property has a current	of interest.  2. Need proof of service of the Notice of
	Aff.Pub.	unpaid principal balance of \$275,439.07	Hearing along with a copy of the
	Sp.Ntc.	with a past due balance of \$13,138.49.	Petition on:
	Pers.Serv.	The following principal reductions were	a. Gary L. Winter (attorney for Arlene
	Conf. Screen	made during the following periods:	Hawkins) - Pursuant to the Requests for
	Letters		Special Notice.
	Duties/Supp	a. Purchase date to prior to marriage -	3. Order apportions the expenses of
	Objections Objections	\$3,251.78	administration and debts of
<b>✓</b>		b. Date of Marriage to date of death - \$15,809.10	decedent 94.39 % to Jun Hawkins and
	Video	c. After date of death - \$9,938.05	5.61% to Larry Hawkins. The expenses of administration come from the
	Receipt	<b>+</b>	estate not the beneficiaries and if they
	CI Report	Decedent left personal property	do come from the beneficiaries it is
	9202	consisting of the household furniture and	only to the extent of the value of the
✓	Order	furnishings that was acquired during the	property they receive. In addition,
		marriage as a result of the petitioner and decedent's joint efforts.	they have to agree to take the
		accedent sjoint enons.	property subject to paying the expenses. Otherwise the property is
		Petitioner and decedent were married	sold and the costs of administration
		on 11/18/2008. The Will admitted to	are paid from the proceeds of the
		probate dated 3/16/2006 devised the	sale.
		real property to beneficiaries other than	1
		petitioner. [Arlene Hawkins (decedent's	Please see additional page
	Aff. Posting	former spouse) with the residue to Larry	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	Hawkins, decedent's son.]	Reviewed on: 10/31/13
	UCCJEA	Please see additional page	Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 5B – Hawkins

As an omitted spouse, petitioner is entitled to a share of the estate under Probate Code §21610.

Petitioner states that in determining the respective separate or community property interests of the parties entitled to distribution by reason of the principal reductions against the encumbrances secured by the real property the equity gained before the marriage of \$3,251.78 would be equally shared by Petitioner and decedent's son. The reductions made during the term of the marriage of \$15,809.10 would appropriately be allocated to petitioner and the post-death principal reductions would also be appropriately allocated to petitioner to provide for a 94.39% interest in the real property to be distributed to petitioner and a 5.61% interest to the decedent's son.

Petitioner prays that the Court determine the persons who are entitled to distribution of decedent's estate, and specifically determine as follows:

- 1. That the above described personal property is the community property of Petitioner and decedent, the one half of it belongs to petitioner and that Petitioner is entitled the decedent's ½ community interest.
- 2. The separate property interest, if any of the decedent; the community property interest of Petitioner and decedent and/or separate property interest of Petitioner in the above-described real property.

Objections of Arlene Hawkins to Petition to Determine Persons Entitled to Distribution of the Estate filed by Arlene Jones Hawkins on 9/27/13. Objector alleges that she is the sole beneficiary of the specific gift of decedent's principal residence. Objector states the property was the sole property of the decedent, it was purchased by the decedent prior to his marriage to Jun and was solely vested in the decedent's name. For the purpose of determining the character of the real property there is a rebuttable presumption that the character of the property is as set forth on the deed. This presumption may be rebuttable only by clear and convincing evidencing proof. The petition provides no evidence to rebut and does not even allege that the property was in some way transmuted to community property.

Petitioner contends that Jun's statutory share is one half of decedent's community property and one half of decedent's separate property. Therefore Arlene is entitled to one half of the residence. Decedent's residence was Decedent's separate property prior to and during the marriage to Jun. Decedent's earnings were presumed community and the petition provides evidence of principal reduction as a result of the community earnings during the marriage in the amount of \$15,809.10. Any such principal reduction may be characterized as pro tanto interest of the community.

Under Probate Code §26210, Jun is only entitled to one-half of the Decedent's community property. Decedent specifically devised the residence to Arlene and Arlene contends that as with the separate portion of the residence, she is entitled to one-half of the community property in the residence.

Objector contends that she should be awarded any costs under Probate Code § 1002 and in equity should be reimbursed her fees because requiring her to incur fees would be contrary to Decedent's intent.

## 5B Lawrence Eugene Hawkins (Estate)

Case No. 12CEPR00970

### Objector prays for an Order:

- 1. Decedent's real property, located at 2075 E. Eclipse in Fresno is the Decedent's principal residence described in the Decedent's Will;
- 2. The residence is the separate property of the Decedent;
- 3. Principal reduction of the residence during the marriage is community property;
- 4. Arlene Jones Hawkins is the sole named beneficiary of Decedent's residence under the terms of the Will;
- 5. Jun Hawkins' statutory share as omitted spouse is one-half of Decedent's community property and one-half of Decedent's separate property;
- 6. As the sole beneficiary and devisee of Decedent's residence, and subject to Jun Hawkins' one-half statutory share, Arlene Jones Hawkins is entitled to and should be distributed one-half of Decedent's residence under the terms of Decedent's Will;
- 7. Arlene Jones Hawkins is a prevailing party under this proceeding and entitled to an award of costs under Probate Code §1005.
- 8. Arlene Jones Hawkins is, in equity, entitled to be reimbursed her attorney fees.

### **NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (cont.):**

4. The real property is the separate property of the decedent because it was acquired prior to the marriage. Petitioner states she has a community interest in the real property because during the 3 year marriage the mortgage was paid with community property funds. This is correct. She does have a community interest in the property. However, there is not enough information in the pleadings to determine the community property interest. Petitioner will need to provide the court with amount of the down payment on the property, the full amount of the loan on the property and the community payments made on the loan that reduced the principal balance of the loan.

Petitioner states the encumbrances on the property total \$275,439.07 with an outstanding balance of \$13,138.49 and the appraised value of the property is \$230,000.00. She states \$15,809.10 was paid using community funds to reduce the mortgage on the property. Family Code § 2622 (b) states to the extent that community debts exceed total community and quasi-community assets, the excess of debt shall be assigned as the court deems just and equitable, taking into account factors such as the parties' relative ability to pay.

Pursuant to Probate Code  $\S21610$  petitioner is entitled to an intestate share of the estate as an omitted spouse. Therefore, once the community interest is determined, she would be entitled to the community interest in the property, if any, and a  $\frac{1}{2}$  interest in the separate property. With regards to the mortgage payments paid after the date of death, they may be considered costs of administration and reimbursable to her. However, since Petitioner has been living in the home the amounts paid could also be considered rents for the occupancy of the property.

**Note:** It appears that pursuant to Prob. Code, § 6122 the specific gift to former spouse/objector Arlene Jones Hawkins is void. Probate Code §6122 (a) states unless the will expressly provides otherwise, if after executing a will the testator's marriage is dissolved or annulled, the dissolution or annulment revokes all of the following: (1) Any disposition or appointment of property made by the will to the former spouse.

Motsenbocker, Gary L. (for William M. Shiba – Successor Trustee/Petitioner)
Petition for Order Confirming Assets to Family Trust

Marie DOD: 09/25/09		W	LLIAM M. SHIBA, successor trustee, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
William DOD: 07/04/12				
		II _	titioner states:	
		<del>-</del> 1.	Petitioner is the son of WILLIAM (BILL) SHIBA and MARIE HARUKO ("Settlors") and named as	
Col	nt. from		Successor Trustee of the <b>SHIBA FAMILY TRUST</b> (the	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		"Trust") created by Settlors on 05/01/06. The Trust is	
		=	now irrevocable. Petitioner is a beneficiary of the	
<u> </u>	Verified	_	Trust.	
	Inventory	2.	The Settlors held discussions with family members	
	PTC		regarding their desire to create and fund a Trust.	
	Not.Cred.		One family member, an attorney, volunteered to	
✓	Notice of Hrg		draft a trust and complete the necessary	
✓	Aff.Mail w	/	paperwork. Unfortunately, he was not familiar with trusts and a number of blunders were made	
	Aff.Pub.		during the crafting of the trust. While the trust	
	Sp.Ntc.		instrument and the effort to effectuate this wish	
	Pers.Serv.	=	was "botched" it was abundantly clear to family	
	Conf. Screen	=	members, including Petitioner, that it was the	
	Letters	=	Settlor's wish and intent to create and fund their	
			trust.	
	Duties/Supp	3.	Petitioner contends that it was the Settlor's intent to transfer their personal residence into the Trust. The	
	Objections	4	evidence of their intent is the fully executed	
	Video		quitclaim deed dated 05/01/06 and recorded	
	Receipt	=	03/23/10 which expressed their intention to transfer	
	CI Report	4	the residence into the Trust.	
	9202	4.	Petitioner contends that the author of the	
<u> </u>	Order	_	quitclaim deed erred when he drafted said deed	2
	Aff. Posting		and failed to name the vesting party as the trustees in that document. The author described	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt		the vesting party as the SHIBA FAMILY TRUST rather	<b>Reviewed on:</b> 10/31/13
	UCCJEA		than WILLIAM (BILL) SHIBA and MARIE HARUKO	Updates:
	Citation		SHIBA, as trustees of the SHIBA FAMILY TRUST. As a	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		consequence of this vesting error the deed was	File 9 – Shiba
		_	ineffective to convey the property to the Trust.	
		5.	Petitioner requests the Court to deem the real	
			property included in the decedent's trust and	
			enter an order effecting that conveyance.	
		Pe	titioner prays for an Order that:	
		1.	The residential real property be ordered vested in	
			the name of the Petitioner, William M. Shiba,	
			successor trustee of the Shiba Family Trust dated	
			05/01/06.	

# 10 Anthony Bert Gendusa aka Anthony B. Gendusa (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR00855

## Atty De Goede, Dale A. (for Anne Gendusa – Spouse/Petitioner)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

T		1	lei undei IAEA (FIOD. C. 8002, 10450	
DO	D: 08/31/13		<b>DUSA</b> , surviving	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		•	med alternate Executor	
		without bor	nd, is Petitioner.	
Col	nt. from	Full IAEA – C	OK .	
	Aff.Sub.Wit. S	þ		
✓	Verified	Will dated 0	01/12/87	
	Inventory			
	PTC	Residence:	Fresno	
	Not.Cred.	Publication:	: The Business Journal	
✓	Notice of Hrg			
✓		Estimated V	<u> alue of the Estate:</u>	
✓	Aff.Pub.		operty - \$109,000.00	
	Sp.Ntc.		ome - 58,100.00	
	Pers.Serv.	Real proper	rty - 620,000.00	
	Conf. Screen	Total	- \$787,100.00	
<b>√</b>	Letters		•	
<b>√</b>	Duties/Supp	Probate Ref	feree: <b>RICK SMITH</b>	
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 10/31/13
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation: SUBMITTED
	FTB Notice			File 10 – Gendusa

10

Larson, Timothy J. (for Janice D. Sanders – daughter/Petitioner)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DO	D: 01/01/04		JANICE D. SANDERS, daughter, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Petitioner and requests appointment as	
			Administrator without bond.	
Col	nt. from		Full IAEA – OK	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified		All heirs waive bond	
	Inventory			
	PTC		Decedent died intestate	
	Not.Cred.			
<b>✓</b>	Notice of Hrg		Residence: Clovis	
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	Publication: The Business Journal	
✓	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.		Estimated Value of the Estate:	
	Pers.Serv.		Personal property - \$500,000.00	
	Conf. Screen		<u> Annual income - 20,000.00</u>	
✓	Letters		Total - \$520,000.00	
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections		Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
<b>✓</b>	Order			Positionard In a IF
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 10/31/13
	UCCJEA Citation	-		Updates: Recommendation: SUBMITTED
	FTB Notice			File 11 – Kjer
	1 ID NOICE			THE TI-NEI

Atty Teixeira, Stanley, sole practitioner (for Victoria L. Reyes and Stephanie E. Callahan) Atty

Sharbaugh, Catherine (Court-appointed for Conservatee)

## Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account

Ag	e: 77 years		VICTORIA L. REYES and STEPHANIE E. CALLAHAN,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			daughters, were appointed Co-Conservators of	
			the Estate on 8/22/2012.	Continued from 11/5/2013.
Co	ont. from 102413		Letters of Conservatorship issued 8/23/2012 impose the following conditions: Co-Conservators,	Need first account of the
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Victoria L. Reyes and Stephanie E. Callahan, are	conservatorship estate, or
-	Verified		both required to be signers on all bank accounts	verified status report and
	Inventory		for Barbara Jean Quintana. The Co-Conservators	proof of service of notice
	Status Rep	Χ	may independently sign checks and other	of the status hearing
	Not.Cred.		negotiable instruments. However, they both must	pursuant to Local Rule
	Notice of Hrg		concur in every such exercise of power per Probate Code § 2105(c)(1).	7.5(B).
	Aff.Mail		110bale Code § 2100(C)(1).	
-	Aff.Pub.		Minute Order dated 8/22/2012 from the hearing	
-	Sp.Ntc.		on the appointment of conservator of the estate	Note: Declaration of Stanley
	Pers.Serv.		set this Status Hearing for the filing of the first	Teixeira filed 10/23/2013 states he
-	Conf. Screen		account.	anticipates he should have the
	Letters		Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 12/3/2012	accounting prepared and filed no
	Duties/Supp		shows an estate value of \$7,617.91 consisting of	later than 11/5/2013.
	Objections		cash and household furnishings/furniture.	
	Video			
	Receipt		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel's Annual Review was filed 3/5/2013 and recommends the	
	CI Report		conservatorship continue as is.	
	9202			
	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 10/29/13
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 12 – Quintana

Houghton, Craig A. (for Leanne Walker Grant – Administrator/Petitioner)
Status Hearing Re: Petition for Preliminary Distribution

DC	D: 10/22/10	LEANNE WALKER GRANT, niece, was	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		appointed Administrator with bond fixed at	1 Nov. 161-1 - 11-1-1-1-1-1
		\$7,000,000.00 on 03/12/12. Letters of Administration were issued on 05/16/12.	Need Status Update report.
		Administration were issued on 03/10/12.	
Со	nt. from	First Report of Personal Representative and	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Petition for its Settlement; Petition to	
	Verified	Determine Entitlement to Estate Distribution	
	Inventory	and to Allow Administration of Estate to Continue filed 06/13/13 and approved on	
	PTC	= 07/15/13.	
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of	Minute Order from 07/15/13 set this matter	
	Hrg	status regarding preliminary distribution on	
-	Aff.Mail	11/05/13.	
-	Aff.Pub.	Inventory & Appraisal, partial No. 1 filed	
	Sp.Ntc.	= 09/20/13 - \$202,328.21	
	Pers.Serv.	_	
	Conf.	Inventory & Appraisal, partial No. 2/Final filed	
	Screen	09/20/13 - \$6,763,876.49	
	Letters	_	
	Duties/Supp	=	
	Objections Vistoria	=	
	Video Receipt		
	Cl Report	=	
	9202	=	
	Order	┪	
	Aff. Posting	_	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	7	<b>Reviewed on:</b> 10/31/13
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 13 - Lowery

Case No. 13CEPR00514

Atty Atty

14

Status Hearing

Bernice C. Kasabian DOD: 1-17-13	JENNIFER KAPUR, Granddaughter and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD. 1-17-13	beneficiary, filed a Petition to Determine	Alberta Oudano 10 13 Ma Balanda
	Existence of Trust on 6-12-13.	Minute Order 9-10-13: Mr. Roberts indicates that he will send out the
	Order Determining Existence of Trust filed 7-	notices to the beneficiaries and
Cardfram 001012	30-13 orders that Dana T. Kahler provide a	complete the administration.
Cont from 091013, 100813	true and correct copy of the trust, including	Complete the damillishallon.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	the operative amendments.	Minute Order 10-8-13: Mr. Roberts is
		appearing via CourtCall. Mr. Teixeira
Verified	Note: Proposed language ordering Dana T.	informs the Court that one of the
Inventory	Kahler to account was stricken from the	amendments was not signed.
PTC	order.	Continued to 11-5-13.
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg	Minute Order 7-30-13: Mr. Roberts requests	1. As of 11-1-13, nothing further has
Aff.Mail	a continuance to speak with Dana Kahler.	been filed per Court order.
Aff.Pub.	The Court grants the petition and denies the	
Sp.Ntc.	request for an accounting finding that it is	Need status: Has the trustee a true
Pers.Serv.	premature at this time. Matter is set for	and correct copy of the trust and
Conf. Screen	Status Hearing on 9/10/13. The Court orders	the operative amendments been
Letters	Dana Kahler to be personally present at the	provided pursuant to the Court's
Duties/Supp	next hearing. Dana Kahler is ordered to	order of 7-30-13?
Objections	provide evidence of any notices the he has	
Video	given and/or other actions he has taken as trustee. In addition, Dana Kahler is ordered	
Receipt	to file all documents with this court and	
CI Report	provide copies to Mr. Teixeira and Mr.	
9202	Roberts. Set on 9/10/13 at 9:00am in Dept.	
Order	303 for Status Hearing. Petition is granted	
Aff. Posting	before Court Trial. Order signed.	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	] ~ ~ ~	Reviewed on: 11-1-13
UCCJEA	A copy of the minute order was mailed to	Updates:
Citation	Attorneys Teixeira and Roberts and to Dana	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	Kahler on 8-5-13.	File 14 – Kasabian

15

Atty

Analiyah Marroquin (GUARD/P)

Riley, Helen (Pro Per – Paternal Aunt – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 8 months	TEMP EXPIRES 11-5-13	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from: 073013,	HELEN RILEY, Paternal Aunt, is Petitioner. Father: EDWARD (AKA JESSE) VENEGAS - Nominates, consents, and waives notice	Note: Petitioner resides in Visalia, which is in Tulare County.  Continued from 7-30-13, 9-17-13
Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.  Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Clearances Order  Aff. Posting	- Served by mail 6-14-13 Maternal Grandmother: Sandra Garcia	Minute Order 7-30-13: Ms. Riley informs the Court that mother is in custody. Ms. Riley is advised that mother will need to be served for the next hearing. The Court extends the temporary to 9/17/13 and orders that there be no visitation with Priscilla Murillo, Renato Marroquin, or either parent. Continued to 9/17/13 at 9:00am in Dept. 303. Temporary Guardianship/Conservatorship Letters extended to 9/17/13.  Minute order 9-17-13: Ms. Riley informs the Court that the father passed away last month. Ms. McCrary requests a continuance for the purpose of allowing the mother who will be released from custody on 9/27/13 an opportunity to be present. Visitation between the child and Ms. McCrary to be determined among the parties. Ms. McCrary provides contact information. Matter continued to 11/5/13. The temporary is extended to 11/5/13. Continued to: 11/5/13 at 09:00a.m. in Dept 303. Temporary Guardianship Letters extended to: 11/5/13  1. If diligence is not found, need service on maternal grandmother and paternal grandfather pursuant to Probate Code §1511.  Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt  V UCCJEA	-	Reviewed on: 11-1-13 Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 15 - Marroquin
•		15

15

# Travis Gardeley & Harmonee Gardner (GUARD/P) Case No. 13CEPR00780

Frierson, Emma (pro per – maternal great-aunt/Petitioner)

16

Atty

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Travis, 9	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/05/13	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Harmonee, 2	<b>EMMA FRIERSON</b> , maternal great-aunt, is Petitioner.	This Petition is for Travis Gardeley only. Hearing on Stacy Edwards, maternal aunt's, Petition for guardianship of Harmonee
Cont. from  Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified Inventory  PTC  Not.Cred.  Notice of X Hrg  Aff.Mail X  Aff.Pub.  Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv. X  Conf. Screen  Letters  Duties/Supp  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order	Father: ROCHELLE LONEL GARDELEY – Court dispensed with notice on 09/18/13 Mother: TANYANNA GARDNER – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 09/04/13  Paternal grandparents: UNKNOWN  Maternal grandparents: NOT LISTED  Petitioner states that the mother asked Petitioner to care for Travis because she does not have a steady place to live. Travis states that he wants to live with Petitioner.  Court Investigator Dina Calvillo filed a report on 10/24/13.	<ol> <li>Need Notice of Hearing.</li> <li>Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person or Consent &amp; Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for:         <ul> <li>Paternal grandparents (unknown)</li> <li>Maternal grandparents (not listed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/01/13
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 16 - Gardeley & Gardner

Christopher Antonio Navarro (GUARD/E)

Case No. 13CEPR00138

Atty Porter, Tres A. (for Tony Navarro – Father – Petitioner)
Atty Sanoian, Joanne (for Jennifer Sanchez – Maternal A

Sanoian, Joanne (for Jennifer Sanchez – Maternal Aunt – Guardian of the Estate)
Notice of Motion and Motion for Distribution of Funds Received from CalSTRS by

Guardian of the Person to be Paid to the Parent, Tony Navarro, for the Minor's Benefit

TONY NAVARRO, Father, is Petitioner. Age: 7 **JENNIFER SANCHEZ**, Maternal Aunt, was appointed Guardian of the Estate on 3-6-13 without bond, funds blocked. Cont from 090513, 101013 Petitioner states the mother died in December 2012. At the time of her death, Aff.Sub.Wit. there was litigation pending between the Verified parents re child support. Said litigation has Inventory spanned a period of several years PTC culminating in an order of primary custody to Petitioner at the time of the mother's Not.Cred. death. Petitioner requests the Court take Notice of Hra Judicial Notice of the underlying litigation in Aff.Mail W 08CEFL00595. A joinder against Ms. Sanchez Aff.Pub. has recently been issued. That matter is still pending. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Petitioner states the CalSTRS payments for Conf. Screen the child were ordered on an exparte basis Letters on 5-8-13 to be received by the Guardian of the Estate and deposited to blocked **Duties/Supp** account. **Objections** Video Petitioner states the funds are for the benefit Receipt of the child and should be utilized for the CI Report care of the child. At the 3-26-13 hearing 9202 wherein Ms. Sanchez was originally appointed as Guardian of the Estate Order without bond, Counsel for Petitioner objected as to the ongoing monthly benefit Aff. Posting payments, specifically CalSTRS benefits, **Status Rpt** being paid to her rather than to the father. **UCCJEA** At that time, she had not contacted Citation CalSTRS and was not certain such benefit **FTB Notice** would be subject to the guardianship estate. Now, precisely as predicted at that hearing, Petitioner is forced to bring the instant motion to obtain this monthly payment to pay for expenses for the child. Petitioner is the sole surviving parent, is a self-employed

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:** 

Note: This matter will be heard at 8:30 am in Dept. 52 (Main Courthouse)

<u>Note</u>: Although Mr. Navarro filed this petition and is therefore the "Petitioner" in the matter before this Probate Court at this time, it appears that in his documents he refers to himself as the "Respondent" and to Ms. Sanchez as "Petitioner," as is the practice in Family Law litigation. Examiner notes this observation simply to avoid confusion in reading the Examiner Notes, which refer to the party bringing the petition as the "Petitioner."

Minute Order 9-5-13: The Court dispenses with notice as to item #2 in the examiner notes. The Court considers Mr. Navarro's filing to be a petition requiring additional fees. Mr. Porter withdraws his request for judicial notice. Matter is continued to 10/10/13. The hearings set for 9/6/13 are vacated and rescheduled for 10/10/13. Continued to 10/10/13 at 9 am in Dept 303.

Minute Order 10-10-13: Matter continued to 11-5-13 at which time the Court will render its ruling. Continued to 11-5-13 at 8:30 am in Dept. 52.

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 10-31-13

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 1 – Navarro

1

contractor and has an average monthly income less than the equivalent of full time

**SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES** 

minimum waae.

## 1 Christopher Antonio Navarro (GUARD/E)

### Case No. 13CEPR00138

### Page 2

**Petitioner states** that while he is married and his current wife does earn sufficient income to support the household, the ongoing support and care of the minor child is NOT the legal responsibility of his spouse.

Petitioner states he is among the persons authorized by law to receive the benefits on behalf of the child. California Education Code §23855 and 23856 cited.

Petitioner states that if no guardianship of the estate had been established, he would be entitled to receive this benefit. However, the code does not designate as to who would have priority between a guardian of the estate and a parent having custody. Petitioner contends that the present situation makes absolutely no logical sense, nor would it be just or equitable to allow the guardian of the estate, who was appointed to oversee assets such as the decedent's vehicle, bank accounts, and various items of furnishing or other personal property, to have exclusive control over a monthly survivor benefit for the benefit of the child.

Petitioner states it seems quite clear that the monthly allowance from CalSTRS was intended to be an ongoing payment for the surviving children's health, well-being, and support. If such funds were intended to be accumulated into a blocked account as an investment for the child, then it would be much more logical that such sum would be awarded as a lump sum. As such, funds intended to provide for the child's ongoing needs should be paid to Petitioner.

Petitioner prays that the Court issue an order that the Guardian of the Estate pay forthwith to Petitioner fbo the minor child all sums received from the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) after such sums have been placed into a blocked account pursuant to this Court's order of 5-8-13.

**Jennifer Sanchez**, Guardian of the Estate, filed a Reply on 8-27-13. Ms. Sanchez states she is also the trustee of a living trust executed by the mother. The parents had a contentious relationship until the mother's death, and at her death, Petitioner sought to join Ms. Sanchez, as trustee of the trust, into the existing family law matter. During the family law proceeding, he sought modification of a child support order for \$241/month.

Ms. Sanchez states that immediately after the mother's death, Petitioner sought to obtain her trust assets for the minor's support through a motion for joinder. Although successful in joining her, as trustee, for a very limited purpose (to obtain reimbursement for one-half unpaid health and child care benefits from date of death), no ongoing support order was made against the mother which would now authorize a claim against the trust, nor the assets of this guardianship proceeding. On 7-30-13, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal of the court's order in the family law proceedings. That matter is currently pending.

The Reply states that the CA Education Code referenced was the basis for this court's order authorizing the guardian to receive the CalSTRS benefits as guardianship assets. Petitioner's moving papers fail to disclose the fact that he is receiving Social Security Survivor benefits for the support of the minor. Ms. Sanchez believes those are approx. \$300/month, which is more than the amount that he previously paid the mother in child support.

#### **SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES**

## 1 Christopher Antonio Navarro (GUARD/E)

#### Case No. 13CEPR00138

### Page 3

Re a guardian's use of guardianship assets to support a child: It is the parents, not the guardian, who has a duty to provide financial support for the minor. Authority cited. Because a parent has the legal obligation to support his or her minor child, the minor's assets are to be preserved until he or she attains majority, fi the minor has a parent available to provide support. As a matter of almost universal court policy, the guaridna may not use guardianship assets without prior court approval, and **unless the minor's parents are deceased or unavailable, approval is given only in extraordinary circumstances**. (Probate Code §2422; Family Code §3902; CEB 10.20, 10:24).

Ms. Sanchez states Petitioner is responsible for support of his child. Petitioner seeks a turnover of all CalSTRS benefits on a monthly basis for his use, without establishing that guardianship assets should be available to him, or the legal grounds under which he is somehow entitled to these assets. He has attempted for more than four years to obtain assets of the decedent. He was successful in reducing his child support obligation to her shortly before she died. Through an appeal on the family law proceeding, an objection to the establishment of the guardianship proceeding, and now this motion to gain access to the assets, he continues the vindictive and malicious attack on the decedent. His recent actions explain exactly why the mother carefully executed her estate plan prior to her death, to place a trusted family member in charge of assets which will ultimately be transferred to the minor in adulthood.

Petitioner fails to show facts sufficient to compel Ms. Sanchez to furnish support under Probate Code §2404. Ms. Sanchez is informed and believes that Petitioner's household income exceeds \$100,000.00 and that he has an ownership interest in at least one home and one rental property. At no time has he spoken to Ms. Sanchez re specific needs for which additional funds are needed. He has not spoken to her at all.

Guardianship assets currently total approx. \$53,157.00. These funds should be preserved for the minor. Should Petitioner bring a petition under §2404 and establish need for support, maintenance, education, or special needs that cannot otherwise be met by the father, Ms. Sanchez shall readily comply with any court order regarding same. She shall also request appointment of a Guardian Ad Litem for the minor to investigate the facts alleged in such a petition.

Attached to the Reply is a copy of the 4-30-13 Findings and Order in 08CEFL00595

Ms. Sanchez requests the motion be DENIED.